Min 23 1954

MONTHARDS WA: Depty Direct of Intel Launce

SUNTANT : SIC Consideratio: If NIII 15/1

PROBLEM

1. On 3 August the IAC requested the RIC to study and make recommendations on a proposed DCIO 15/1, attached in TAE

DIUGUSEION

- After four long sessions the SIC working group agreed on the precable and sections 1. S & and e, and 3, attached in TAB B. While these sections enable certain changes from the original draft, none of these changes ween to us to represent a fundamental departure from the original
- centered around the State/CIA disagreement on part 2 b (responsibility for the Bloc). Although there is really no humanantal disagreement on 2 a (responsibility for non-Bloc) and 2 c (
- h. In an effort to do this, we met individually with the military services and presented an elternate proposal which would give to State primary responsibility for making political and sociological interpretatives of economic developments in the Bloc, attached in TAN D. This of course, does not really

yield anything to State since they already have this responsbility for political intelligence under MSCID 3, but the services . felt that this might satisfy State's demands. We felt that it would not but went along in order to keep the services on our side. As expected, this alternative was unacceptable to State and they reiterated their former position although after lengthy argumentation by CIA they admitted there were perhaps better examples then they had listed parenthetically in their proposed alternative.

- 5. Since State was clearly dissatisfied, the military services were reluctant to accept the CIA position and in fact a couple of them seemed to feel that it would be better not to have a DCID at all them one which put State out of business on the Bloc against its will as far as responsibility for economic intelligence production goes.
- 6. Following the last meeting, the military representatives notified the CIA representative that they were attempting to work up some type of compromise draft on part 2 b and would notify us of their suggestions by the afternoon of 23 August.

ALDERNATIVES

- 7. These discussions seem to present the following alternatives:
 - a. Have the RIC present a split position to the IAC on part 2 b along the lines of the State alternative in TAB C and the CIA draft in TAB D. From CIA's point of view this would be undesirable since we pretty clearly would not have the support of the military services.
 - b. Prior to the presentation of the EIC position to the IAC you, or Mr. Dulles, might call on top officials in State in an effort to change the State position.
 - c. We might work for a compromise with State which would resolve the disagreement or at least bring the military services on our side. If this approach is selected, we suggest that it either (1) be along

1 2

Approved For Release 2000/08/25: QIA-RDP69-00642R000100160003-3

the lines of the alternative attached in TAB E, or, (2) along the lines of the compromise draft currently in preparation by the military services if this proves acceptable to us.

25X1A

OFTO E. GUTTEE Assistant Director Research and Reports

Attachments (Tab A throng T)

25X1A

ORER: dop 23 August 1954